

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,986	09/19/2006	Thibaut Verjat	127999	9196
25944 OLIFF & BEF	7590 03/31/200 PRIDGE PLC	9	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 320850			PANDE, SUCHIRA	
ALEXANDRI	LEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/579,986 VERJAT, THIBAUT

0661 4-41 0	1 ()						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	SUCHIRA PANDE	1637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the six of restinged for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.		e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdran 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or of the subject to restriction and subject to restrict the subjec	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and acception is specified and acception to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct acception is objected to by the Example of the correct acception is objected to by the Example of the correct acception is objected to by the Example of the correct acception is objected to be the Example of the correct acception in the correct acceptance of the correct acceptanc	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20090304

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9, drawn to a method (process).

Group II, claim(s) 10-18, drawn to a product (primers, probes and kit).

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Brennan (US pat. 5,474,796 issued December 12, 1995) teaches the detection probe of claim 15.

Claim 15 recites a detection probe comprising at least 10 nucleotide motifs of a nucleotide sequence selected from SEQ ID No. 1 to SEQ ID No. 20. Brennan teaches oligonucleotides that are 10 mer long (see col. 9 example 4, lines 48-55 where an array of 10 mer oligonucleotides that represent every possible permutation of a sequence are taught. Here each oligonucleotide element, moving in a 5'-3' direction, is identical to the preceding element in nucleotide sequence, except that it deletes the 5' most nucleotide, and adds a new 3' most oligonucleotide). Thus Brennan teaches a detection probe

Art Unit: 1637

comprising at least 10 nucleotide motifs of a nucleotide sequence selected from SEQ ID No. 1 to SEQ ID No. 20. Hence the product of claim 15 lacks novelty as it was taught to one of ordinary skill in the art by prior art. Therefore the product of group I invention lacks the same or corresponding special technical features of method of group II invention.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Instant claim 1 recites method for diagnosis/prognosis of breast cancer. Thus this method is directed to two different populations of patients. Based on the population of patients the two species of this Breast Cancer method are:

- Method for Breast Cancer
 - Diagnosis of breast cancer
 - ii. Prognosis of breast cancer
- Target sequence gene (claims 1-4 are generic)
 - iii. ESR1 (claim 5 in part)
 - iv. ESR2 (claim 5 in part)
 - v. PGR (claim 5 in part)
 - vi. HER2 (claim 5 in part)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a **single** species of method and target genes from the categories a and b enumerated above to which the claims

Art Unit: 1637

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The two methods listed under category a) are directed to two different patient populations and are designed to achieve different end results diagnosis or prognosis; and each of the target gene listed under category b) is recognized in the art as different.

Restriction Subgroups

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct Restriction Subgroups of the claimed invention. After election of one of the Groups above, Applicant is required to also elect a restriction subgroup. This is not a species election. Applicant will be required to cancel non-elected subject matter upon indication of allowable subject matter.

Each of the SEQ IDs claimed comprise a patentably distinct subgroup.

Art Unit: 1637

Currently claims require use of a pair of amplification primers for selected gene (two primers from SEQ ID Nos 1-20); a pair of primers for amplifying housekeeping gene (two primers from SEQ ID NOs 25-29); and a detection probe (one sequence from SEQ ID NO 1-20)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed Subgroup consisting of a single detection probe and a pair of primers (two primers) for species of elected gene, a pair of primers (two primers) for housekeeping gene identified by their respective SEQ ID NO (therefore Applicant must elect total of 5 SEQ ID NOs corresponding to a detection probe; two primers for species of elected gene; and two primers for housekeeping gene) that will be consistent with species of elected gene for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the restriction subgroup that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the Restriction Subgroups are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the Restriction Subgroups to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1637

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.
Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.
All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUCHIRA PANDE whose telephone number is (571)272-9052. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am -5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Suchira Pande Examiner Art Unit 1637

/Suchira Pande/ Examiner, Art Unit 1637